

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,173	173 08/29/2000		Nicholas J. Lee		AMAZON.059A	8624
20995	7590	11/20/2002				
		OLSON & BE		EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN				OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N		
IRVINE, CA 92614					· PETIDUE	D. DER LEGISTER
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2655	
					DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/650,173	LEE, NICHOLAS J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period volume - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A							
20,0	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matt <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		a Francisco					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on							
		sapproved by the Examinor.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	o priority under 35 U.S.C. &	. 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority and or occurs. 3	110(4) (3) 3. (1).					
;_	s have been received						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

· Application/Control Number: 09/650,173

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,7-16,20-26,28,29,31-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u>.

As per claims 1,14-16,24-26,33,35,36, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches a voice recognition accuracy (col. 2 lines 45-55) comprising:

"prompting a user.....characters", "identifying a subset....characters", "generating a dynamic.....items" as prompting and creating a dynamic grammar (fig. 2, and col. 4 lines 46-67)

"prompting the user.....grammar" as voice prompt and recognition (Fig. 2, subblocks 640-730)

executing a search using the query, adding a query to the search, and developing a grammar from this search query (col. 5 lines 35-67);

· Application/Control Number: 09/650,173

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 2,20,29 <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches "prompting a user.....query term" as N character submission (col. 4 lines 36-41)

As per claims 7,21,22, and 32, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches user selected keypad entry (col. 4 lines 36-41)

As per claim 8, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches "user uttering the characters and using the keypad entries of the.....character" as using utterances to match (col. 4 lines 15-35).

As per claims 9,34, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches dynamic grammar usage (col. 4 lines 47-52)

As per claim 10, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches extracting text from a subset of items derived from a database (col. 5 lines 25-30)

As per claims 11,28,38, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches storage of the subset (col. 5 lines 18-24)

As per claims 12,31, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches a fixed number of input characters (col. 5 lines 25-30)

· Application/Control Number: 09/650,173

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 13,23,37, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> teaches determination of a threshold number of characters (col. 5 lines 25-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-6,17-19,27, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brotman et al (5917889) in view of (Weber (6434524).

As per claims 3-6,17-19, and 27, <u>Brotman et al (5917889)</u> does not explicitly teach using subcategories labeled as "author", however, Weber et al teaches an object interactive user interface using speech recognition with subcategories of author (Weber, col. 6 lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing to modify the teachings of <u>Brotman et al</u> with categorization of speech inputs, such as author, because it would advantageously allow the system to be adapted to a specific task, thereby limiting the amount of relevant data, and therefore speeding the search engine (col. 2 lines 45-55).

'Application/Control Number: 09/650,173

Art Unit: 2655

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno

11/13/2002

DORISH. TO PRIMARY EXAMINER